

Walter Infant School and Nursery

RISK MANAGEMENT POLICY

'To be the best I can be'

DOCUMENT HISTORY

| Version | Action | Ву | Date |
|---------|----------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1.0 | Approved | Full Governing Body | 27 th February 2020 |

Review Point: Spring 2022

Preamble

Risk is one of life's certainties and how successfully organisations deal with it can have a major impact on the achievement of their key goals. Often relatively little is formally done to evaluate and manage risk. This policy aims to raise awareness about the need to address strategic and operational risks and to provide good practical guidance for our school management team to manage such risks in an effective and formal way.

Background

Walter Infant School and Nursery have a robust risk management system in place and key members of both the leadership and management team have attended Risk Assessment training either in person or online. Paper copies of all risk assessments are available in the school office; all risk assessments are reviewed each year but new paper copies will no longer be reprinted.

Risk Management and its benefits

Risk is the threat that an event or action will adversely affect Walter Infant School and Nursery's ability to achieve its objectives and to successfully execute its strategies. Risk Management is the process by which risks are identified, evaluated and controlled, it is a key element of the framework of governance together with community focus, structures and processes, standards of conduct and service delivery arrangements.

Walter Infant school and Nursery's systems of internal control are part of their Risk Management processes and have a key role to play in the management of significant risks to the fulfilment of school objectives. It also contributes to the safeguarding of public funds and the schools' and Local Authority assets. Internal control aids the effectiveness and efficiency of operations; it helps to ensure the reliability of internal and external reporting and assists compliance with laws and regulations. We maintain a sound system of internal control. The principal aim of any internal control system is to manage the risks that are significant to the achievement of the schools' objectives.

This policy aids the adoption of a Risk Management approach to establishing and maintaining the system of internal controls and reviewing their effectiveness. The Full Governing Body has a responsibility to oversee that the Leadership Team manage risk effectively and monitor internal control and risk awareness into the processes that are used to pursue the objectives and also guide the behaviour of all staff.

The constituents of good Risk Management

Risk Management is an integral part of good governance and is the process whereby:

- There is a shared awareness and understanding within Walter Infant School and Nursery of the nature and extent of the risks they face;
- The extent and categories of risks regarded as acceptable, the likelihood and potential impacts of the risks materialising
- Their ability to reduce the incidence and impact on the organisation of risks that do materialise.
- There is a regular and ongoing monitoring and reporting of risk including early warning mechanisms.
- An appropriate assessment is made of the cost of operating particular controls relative to the benefit obtained in managing the related risk.
- The schools conduct, at least annually, a review of the effectiveness of the systems of internal control in place.
- The Headteacher reports to Governors on the results of the review and explains the action it is taking to address any significant concerns it has identified.

This process should be ongoing, embedded in the culture of the school and have the potential to re-orient the whole organisation around performance improvement. It is not about eliminating risk but about understanding risk and managing it more effectively.

Risk Management Implementation Strategy

The features of our implementation strategy are as follows:-

- 1. The Senior Management and Leadership teams will be responsible for discussing risk and informing relevant stakeholders
- 2. Identification and prioritisation of areas of change including school objectives, critical success factors and risks that maybe significant.
- 3. Identification of related significant risks that could undermine:
 - The quality of service provision.
 - The reputation of Walter Infant School and Nursery
 - The reliability of internal and external reporting.
 - The safeguarding of assets from inappropriate use, loss and fraud.
 - Liabilities being identified and managed properly.
- 4. Identification of key tasks in order to:
 - Embed a culture of risk management strategies
 - Manage the risk culture at all levels of the school.
 - Monitor and report on the system of internal control.
- 5. Setting out carefully the role of head teacher, senior leadership team, staff and Governors.
- 6. Allocation of:
 - Resources as necessary, including nominated budget holders.
 - Responsibility for each stage of the plan.
 - Responsibility for the management of each significant risk.

The role of Governors and senior School Staff in Risk Management

Governors should be concerned with significant risks that are those that could potentially have an effect on Walter Infant School and Nursery's ability to achieve our objectives. Implementation of the high level risk management strategy should therefore be a "top- down" basis focussing on matters of key strategic and operational importance. The "top-down" approach will help to avoid the problem of risk overload that can resort from a bottom up approach when more detailed operational risks are identified.

The role of senior leaders in implementing better risk management.

The Headteacher and Senior Leadership and Management Teams have a key role in putting risk management into effect. The school need to consider a number of key steps to implementing risk management:-

- Identify key internal and external changes and agreed clear school wide objectives.
- Identify critical success factors.
- Identify risks.
- Determine which risks are significant.
- Agree control strategies and the risk management policy.
- Agree accountability.
- Consult with all members of staff and raise awareness of risk.
- Monitor those significant aspects of internal control.
- Review risk and control regularly and ensure updates are provided.

RISK REGISTER – RISK MATRIX

IMPACT: (POTENTIAL HARM/DAMAGE)

| LEVEL | DESCRIPTOR | DESCRIPTION |
|-------|---|---|
| 1 | Minor Injury – no first aid or treatment required Negligible damage | No risk to the school. |
| 2 | Minor injury – first aid on site or treatment off site Minor damage | Minimal disruption to operational activities. Low financial risk. |
| 3 | Lost time injury i.e. time off work Major damage | Short-term disruption to operational activities. Moderate financial implications. |
| 4 | Major Injury Serious damage to school | Temporary service closure. Long-term disruption to a number of functions. Significant financial implications. Loss of public confidence. |
| 5 | Death/permanent disability Permanent damage | Extended school closure. Serious financial implications. National publicity. Criminal prosecution. |

LIKELIHOOD:

| LEVEL | DESCRIPTOR | DESCRIPTION |
|-------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Unlikely | May occur only in exceptional circumstances. |
| 2 | Could happen | May occur at some time. |
| 3 | Likely | May occur occasionally. |
| 4 | Very likely | Will probably occur but not a persistent issue. |
| 5 | Certain | Likely to occur on many occasions, a persistent issue. |

| 5 | | Risk Asses | sment Matrix WO | KINGHAM BOROU | GH COUNCIL | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| CURRIN | Certain 5 | medium | medium | high | high | high | | | | |
| | Very likely 4 | low | medium | high | high | high | | | | |
| LIKELIHOOD OF HARM OCCURRING | Likely 3 | low | medium | medium | high | high | | | | |
| | Could happen 2 | low | low | medium | mediu m | medium | | | | |
| LIKEL | Unlikely 1 | low | low | low | low | medium | | | | |
| | | Minor Injury – no first aid or treatment required Negligible damage | Minor injury – first aid on site or treatment off site Minor damage | Lost time injury i.e. time off work Major damage | Major Injury Serious damage | Death/permanen disability Permanent damage | | | | |
| | | 1 2 3 4 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | POTENTIAL OF HARM OCCURING | | | | | | | | | |

| Objective | Risk | Controls | Assurance | Impact | Likelihood | Potential Gaps in Controls | Potential Gaps in Assurance | Actions required |
|--|---|---|--|--------|------------|---|--|---|
| To meet or exceed Age Related Expectations (ARE) for all children | (1) Lack of stability in Senior Leadership and Management Teams | Effective retention procedures and Performance Management | Successful recruitment and Continuous Professional development | 4 | 4 | None | Safer Recruitment Training | All SLT and SMT members to complete Safer Recruitment Training September 2019 |
| | (2) Unable to recruit qualified staff for Foundation Stage | Able to appoint EYTS to work in F1 and F2 with supervision | All EYTS to apply for QTS on appointment | 3 | 3 | None | None | EYTS will be assessed for QTS ASAP |
| | (3) Poor results in National Assessments in FS2 Y1 and Y2 | Effective Pupil Progress monitoring | Triangulated monitoring and pupil progress meetings including tracking | 3 | 3 | QTF less experienced teachers | Possible absence of subject leaders | Monitoring will be a focus for school development |
| To continue to be an outstanding school under the OFSTED Framework 2019 | OFSTED: failing to maintain Outstanding | SLT and SMT knowledge and Understanding of the new expectations from the framework 2019 | Staff training on the new OFSTED assessment framework | 2 | 3 | SLT and SMT absence/lack of training or cascading | Lack of engagement by some teachers | Regular staff meetings and feedback; information shared in more than one way |
| To ensure the well- | (1) Staff do not feel supported | Well-being of staff a focus | Reduced meeting | 2 | 2 | Well-being of staff | Excessive workload | Additional time for data |

| being of all staff | or cared for by SLT/Governors | for School Development and a consideration at every Senior Meeting | timetable and well-being days, including SLT meetings | | | overlooked or not considered | expectations, particularly of leaders | and assessment Well-being days Well-being leader checking well-being of staff Mentors for new staff |
|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | (2) Bullying of staff by other staff members | All staff have access to copies of the Anti-Bullying and Equality Policy as well as signing the Code of Conduct | Anti-Bullying Alliance Training for all staff Grievance Policy | 2 | 3 | Staff do not follow expectations for conduct and behaviour including using social media | Staff do not understand what bullying behaviour is | School Values: all adults are expected to follow our values. Staff encouraged to report bullying behaviour |
| | (3) Staff, including senior leaders, absent from school due to stress or mental ill health | Staff encouraged to talk to line managers about workload or expectations from leadership/ governors | | 4 | 4 | Staff do not raise issues with line managers | Expectations from leadership/ governors is unreasonable | |
| To maintain the general | (1)The building is unsuitable | Regular Health and | Risk assessments | 2 | 4 | Health and Safety check | Failure to check | Regular checks for |

| premises | for the children | safety checks | in place for | | | does not take | equipment | boilers, |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---|---|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| and grounds | to attend | by Site | all identified | | | place e.g. | and record | water, |
| of the school | school | Controller and | risks | | | governor | | boundaries, |
| | | H&S | | | | absence | | alarms etc |
| | | governor | | | | | | |
| | (2) premises | Systems in | Reactive | 2 | 2 | SBM absent | Records not | Rigorous |
| | are not | place to | maintenance | | | from school | kept up to | controls in |
| | carefully | maintain | budget | | | for an | date | place; led by |
| | maintained | resources, | | | | extended | | SBM |
| | | infra-structure | | | | period of | | |
| | | and | | | | time | | |
| | | equipment led | | | | | | |
| | | by School | | | | | | |
| | | Business | | | | | | |
| | | Manager | | | | | | |
| School | Governors fail | Premises plan | Capital | 2 | 2 | Unexpected | No money to | Rigorous |
| development | to ensure that | is part of the | budget in | | | repairs | pay for | budget |
| Plan to | the needs on | school | place for | | | needed | repairs | monitoring |
| include | the premises | development | maintenance | | | | | and regular |
| premises | plan are met | plan with a 3 | of school | | | | | finance |
| succession | and up to date | year | buildings with | | | | | meetings |
| planning and | | prediction | priorities | | | | | with FGB |
| budget | | | assigned | | | | - | |
| Expectations | A member of | Asbestos | Health and | 2 | 5 | Asbestos | Contractors | Signing in |
| for | staff or a | management | Safety | | | plan ignored | not made | registers, |
| managing | contractor | plan in place. | training for all | | | | aware of | asbestos |
| asbestos in | disturbs | Stickers to | staff | | | | asbestos in | aware |
| school | asbestos | identify | All | | | | the school | register. |
| adhered to | within the | asbestos. | contractors | | | | | Visitors told |
| at all times | school building | Standardised | sign t say | | | | | that there is |
| | | asbestos | they are | | | | | asbestos in |
| | | checks before | asbestos | | | | | school |
| | | work | trained and | | | | | Staff aware |
| | | commences if | aware | | | | | of where |
| | | it involves | | | | | | asbestos is. |

| To ensure the school community is protected from fire risk | Staff unaware of evacuation and safety procedures | sawing or drilling. Termly fire drills Weekly alarm checks Regular fire extinguisher and fire blanket checks | 3 Fire Wardens | 2 | 5 | Evacuation procedures not clear or not shared | Fire Wardens not vigilant during practices | Muster points clear Evacuation plans and routes shared Termly fire practices |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| To ensure the safety of all children in school from intruders | Intruders gain access to the school grounds/ buildings | Electric gate to enter school grounds Pass code to enter school building Lanyards with magnetic release for each member of staff High perimeter fence. | Lockdown practice CHAT (Challenge, ask take) posters shared with staff Lockdown Policy | 2 | 5 | Broken gates | Staff not vigilant | Regular maintenance Checks on gates and doors Regular lockdown practice – shared information with parents |